# Codes and Standards Title 24 Energy-Efficient Local Ordinances

# Title:

City of West Sacramento Energy Cost-Effectiveness Study

#### Prepared for:

Randy Goodwin, AIA, LEED AP City of West Sacramento

Pat Eilert Codes and Standards Program Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Maril Pitcock Government Partnership Program Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Prepared by:

Gabel Associates, LLC

Last Modified: April 12, 2010



#### Climate Zone 12 Energy Cost-Effectiveness Study

April 12, 2010

#### Report prepared by:

Michael Gabel of Gabel Associates, LLC 1818 Harmon Street, Suite #1 Berkeley, CA 94703 (510) 428-0803 Email: mike@gabelenergy.com

#### Report on behalf of:

Randy Goodwin, AIA, LEED AP, City of West Sacramento City Architect (916) 617-4660 Email: randyg@cityofwestsacramento.org

Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Codes and Standards Program, Pat Eilert, 202 Cousteau Place, Davis, CA 95616 (530) 757-5261 Email: PLE2@pge.com

Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Government Partnership Program, Maril Pitcock, 245 Market, San Francisco, Room 687, CA 94105 (415) 973-9944 Email: MxWL@pge.com

#### **LEGAL NOTICE**

This report was prepared by Pacific Gas and Electric Company and funded by the California utility customers under the auspices of the California Public Utilities Commission.

Copyright 2009 Pacific Gas and Electric Company. All rights reserved, except that this document may be used, copied, and distributed without modification.

Neither PG&E nor any of its employees makes any warranty, express or implied; or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any data, information, method, product, policy or process disclosed in this document; or represents that its use will not infringe any privately-owned rights including, but not limited to, patents, trademarks or copyrights.

## **Table of Contents**

1.0	Executive Summary	1
2.0	Methodology and Assumptions	2
3.0	Minimum Compliance With 2008 Standards	4
4.0	Incremental Cost to Exceed Title 24 By 15%	9
5.0	Cost-Effectiveness Determination	23

#### 1.0 Executive Summary

This report presents the results of Gabel Associates' research and review of the feasibility and energy cost-effectiveness of building permit applicants exceeding the 2008 Building Energy Efficiency Standards to meet the minimum energy-efficiency requirements of local energy efficiency standards covering Climate Zone 12. A local government may use this report as a basis for demonstrating energy cost-effectiveness of a proposed green building or energy ordinance. The study assumes that such an ordinance requires, for the building categories covered, that building energy performance exceeds the 2008 TDV energy standard budget by at least 15%.

The study is also contained in the local government's application to the California Energy Commission (CEC) which must meet all requirements specified in Section 10-106 of the California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 1, Article 1: Locally Adopted Energy Standards. An ordinance shall be legally enforceable (a) after the CEC has reviewed and approved the local energy standards as meeting all requirements of Section 10-106; and (b) the ordinance has been adopted by the local government and filed with the Building Standards Commission.

The 2008 Building Energy Efficiency Standards, which took effect on January 1, 2010, are the baseline used to calculate the cost-effectiveness data.

### 2.0 Methodology and Assumptions

The energy performance impacts of exceeding the performance requirements of the 2008 Title 24 Building Energy Efficiency Standards (2008 Standards) have been evaluated in Climate Zone 12 using the following residential and nonresidential prototypical building types:

Small Single Family House	Large Single Family House
2-story	2-story
2,025 sf	4,500 sf
Low-rise Multi-family Apartments	High-rise Multi-family Apartments
8 dwelling units/2-story	40 dwelling units/4-story
8,442 sf	36,800 sf
Low-rise Office Building	High-rise Office Building
1-story	5-story
10,580 sf	52,900 sf

#### <u>Methodology</u>

The methodology used in the case studies is based on a design process for each of the proposed prototypical building types that first meets the minimum requirements and then exceeds the 2008 Standards by 15%. The process includes the following major stages:

#### Stage 1: Minimum Compliance with 2008 Standards:

Each prototype building design is tested for minimum compliance with the 2008 Standards, and the mix of energy measures are adjusted using common construction options so the building first just meets the Standards. The set of energy measures chosen represent a reasonable combination which reflects how designers, builders and developers are likely to achieve a specified level of performance using a relatively low first incremental (additional) cost.

#### Stage 2: Incremental Cost for Exceeding 2008 Standards by 15%:

Starting with that set of measures which is minimally compliant with the 2008 Standards, various energy measures are upgraded so that the building just exceeds the 2008 Standards by 15%. The design choices by the consultant authoring this study are based on many years of experience with architects, builders, mechanical engineers; and general knowledge of the relative acceptance and preferences of many measures, as well as their incremental costs. This approach tends to reflect how building energy performance is typically evaluated for code compliance and how it's used to select design energy efficiency measures. Note that lowest simple payback with respect to building site energy is not the primary focus of selecting measures; but rather the requisite reduction of Title 24 Time Dependent Valuation(TDV) energy at a reasonable incremental cost consistent with other non-monetary but important design considerations. A minimum and

maximum range of incremental costs of added energy efficiency measures is established by a variety of research means. A construction cost estimator, Building Advisory LLC, was contracted to conduct research to obtain current measure cost information for many energy measures; and Gabel Associates performed its own additional research to establish first cost data.

#### Stage 3: Cost Effectiveness Determination:

Energy savings in kWh and therms is calculated from the Title 24 simulation results to establish the annual energy cost savings and CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalent reductions in greenhouse gases. A simple payback analysis in years is calculated by dividing the incremental cost for exceeding the 2008 Standards by the estimated annual energy cost savings.

#### **Assumptions**

#### Annual Energy Cost Savings

- 1. Annual site electricity (kWh) and natural gas (therms) saved are calculated using Micropas 8, state-approved energy compliance software for the 2008 Building Energy Efficiency Standards.
- 2. Average residential utility rates of \$0.18/kWh for electricity and \$1.20/therm for natural gas in current constant dollars; nonresidential rates are time-of-use rate schedules modeled explicitly in the DOE-2.1E computer simulation: PG&E A-6 schedule for electricity and PG&E G-NR1 schedule for natural gas.
- 3. No change (i.e., no inflation or deflation) of utility rates in constant dollars
- 4. No increase in summer temperatures from global climate change

#### Simple Payback Analysis

- 1. No external cost of global climate change -- and corresponding value of additional investment in energy efficiency and CO<sub>2</sub> reduction – is included
- 2. The cost of money (e.g., opportunity cost) invested in the incremental cost of energy efficiency measures is not included.

#### 3.0 **Minimum Compliance with 2008 Standards**

The following energy design descriptions of the following building prototypes just meet the 2008 Standards in Climate Zone 12.

#### **Small Single Family House**

- □ 2,025 square feet
- ☐ 2-story
- □ 20.2% glazing/floor area ratio

20.270 glazing/noor area ratio
Energy Efficiency Measures
R-30 Roof w/ Radiant Barrier
R-13 Walls
R-19 Raised Floor over Garage/Open at 2nd Floor
R-0 Slab on Grade
Quality Insulation Installation (HERS)
Low E2 Vinyl Windows, U=0.36, SHGC=0.30
Furnace: 80% AFUE
Air Conditioner: 13 SEER, 11 EER (HERS)
Air Conditioner: Refrig. Charge (HERS)
R-6 Attic Ducts
Reduced Duct Leakage/Testing (HERS)
50 Gallon Gas Water Heater: EF=0.62

#### **Large Single Family House**

- ☐ 4,500 square feet
- ☐ 2-story
- ☐ 22.0% glazing/floor area ratio

Energy Efficiency Measures
R-30 Roof w/ Radiant Barrier
R-13 Walls
R-30 Raised Floor
Quality Insulation Installation (HERS)
Low E2 Vinyl Windows, U=0.36, SHGC=0.30
(2) Furnaces: 80% AFUE
(2) Air Conditioner: 13 SEER, 11 EER (HERS)
(2) Air Conditioners: Refrig. Charge (HERS)
R-8 Attic Ducts
Reduced Duct Leakage/Testing (HERS)
(2) Instantaneous Gas Water Heater: RE=0.80

### **Low-rise Multi-family Apartments**

□ 8,442 square feet

□ 8 units/2-story

□ 12.5% glazing/floor area ratio

Energy Efficiency Measures
R-38 Roof w/ Radiant Barrier
R-13 Walls
R-0 Slab on Grade
Quality Insulation Installation (HERS)
Super Low E Vinyl Windows, U=0.36, SHGC=0.23
(8) Furnaces: 80% AFUE
(8) Air Conditioners: 13 SEER, 11 EER (HERS)
R-6 Attic Ducts
Reduced Duct Leakage/Testing (HERS)
Carriago, marcore carrier and carrier marcore as the marcore m

#### **High-rise Multifamily Apartments**

(8) 40 Gallon Gas Water Heaters: EF=0.63

□ 36,800 sf.

☐ 40 units

☐ 4-story

☐ Window to Wall Ratio = 35.2%

## **Energy Efficiency Measures to Meet Title 24**

R-30 Metal Roof w/ R-5 (1") rigid insulation; Cool Roof Reflectance=0.55, Emittance=0.75

R-19 in Metal Frame Walls

R-4 (1.25") Raised Slab over parking garage

Dual Metal Windows: default U-factor=0.79, COG SHGC=0.38

1.5 ton 4-pipe fan coils, 80% AFUE boiler, 70-ton scroll air cooled chiller 0.72 KW/ton

Central DHW boiler: 80% AFUE and recirculating system w/ timertemperature controls

#### **Low-rise Office Building**

- □ Single Story
- □ 10,580 sf,
- ☐ Window to Wall Ratio = 37.1%

#### **Energy Efficiency Measures to Meet Title 24**

R-19 Metal Roof w/ R-5(1") rigid insulation; Cool Roof Reflectance=0.55, Emittance=0.75

R-19 in Metal Frame Walls

R-0 (un-insulated) slab-on-grade 1st floor

Metal windows: COG glazing U=0.30, COG SHGC=0.38

Lighting = 0.858 w/sf: Open Office Areas: (60) 2-lamp T8 fixtures @58w each; (24) 18w recessed CFLs no lighting controls. Small Offices: (48) 2-lamp T8 fixtures; (40) 18w recessed CFLs, no controls. Support Areas: (32) 18w recessed CFLs; (48) 13w CFL wall sconces; no controls.

(3) 13-ton DX units EER=11.6; 82% AFUE furnaces; standard efficiency fan motors; fixed temp. integrated air economizers

R-6 duct insulation w/ ducts on roof, HERS verified duct leakage

(1) 50 gallon or less tank Gas Water Heaters EF=0.58

#### **High-rise Office Building**

- ☐ 5-storv
- □ 52,900 sf,
- ☐ Window to Wall Ratio = 34.5%

#### Design "A" for Options 1 and 2

#### **Energy Efficiency Measures to Meet Title 24**

R-19 under Metal/Conc. Deck w/ 1" rigid insulation (R-5), no cool roof

R-19 in Metal Frame Walls

R-0 (un-insulated) slab-on-grade 1st floor

Metal windows: COG U=0.30, COG SHGC=0.38

Lighting = 0.858 w/sf: Open Office Areas: (300) 2-lamp T8 fixtures @58w each; no lighting controls; (120) 18w recessed CFLs no lighting controls. Small Offices: (280) 2-lamp T8 fixtures on/off lighting controls; (200) 18w recessed CFLs no lighting controls. Support Areas: (160) 18w recessed CFLs no lighting controls; (240) 13w CFL wall sconces; no lighting controls.

(4) 55-ton Packaged VAV EER=10.1; 80% TE furnaces; standard efficiency variable speed fan motors; fixed temp. integrated air economizers; 20% VAV boxes, electric reheat on perimeter zones

R-6 duct insulation w/ ducts in conditioned

Standard Tank Gas Water Heaters EF=0.58

#### Design "B" for Options 3 and 4

#### **Energy Efficiency Measures to Meet Title 24**

R-19 under Metal/Conc. Deck w/ 1" rigid insulation (R-5), no cool roof

R-19 in Metal Frame Walls

R-0 (un-insulated) slab-on-grade 1st floor

Metal windows: default U=0.71, COG SHGC=0.54

Lighting = 0.858 w/sf: Open Office Areas: (300) 2-lamp T8 fixtures @58w each; no lighting controls; (120) 18w recessed CFLs no lighting controls. Small Offices: (280) 2-lamp T8 fixtures on/off lighting controls; (200) 18w recessed CFLs no lighting controls. Support Areas: (160) 18w recessed CFLs no lighting controls; (240) 13w CFL wall sconces; no lighting controls.

(4) 55-ton Packaged VAV EER=10.1; 80% TE furnaces; standard efficiency variable speed fan motors; fixed temp. integrated air economizers; 20% VAV boxes, hot water reheat on perimeter zones with 80% AFUE boiler

R-6 duct insulation w/ ducts in conditioned

80% AFUE boiler for domestic hot water

#### Incremental Cost to Exceed 2008 Standards by 15% 4.0

The following tables list the energy features and/or equipment included in the 2008 Standards base design, the efficient measure options, and an estimate of the incremental cost for each measure included to improve the building performance to use 15% less TDV energy than the corresponding Title 24 base case design.

#### **Small Single Family House**

	,025	squ	ıare	feet
--	------	-----	------	------

☐ 2-story

□ 20.2% glazing/floor area ratio

Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15%

Single Family Prototype: 2,025 SF, Option 1 2025 sf Climate Zone 12

Energy Efficiency Measures Change			Incremental Cost Esti					
VHDOSE VHD	Type		Min		Max		Avg	
R-30 Roof w/ Radiant Barrier	1=	\$	-	\$	-	\$		
R-21 Walls (from R-13): 2,550 sf @ \$0.45 to \$0.70/sf	Upgrade	\$	1,148	\$	1,785	\$	1,466	
R-30 Raised Floor over Garage/Open at 2nd Floor (from R-19):			· · ·					
448 sf @ \$0.25 to \$0.35/sf	Upgrade	\$	112	\$	157	\$	134	
R-0 Slab on Grade	<b>1</b> =	\$	-	\$	-	\$	65 <b>=</b>	
Quality Insulation Installation (HERS)	=	\$	( <del>=</del> )	\$	-	\$	( = 1	
Low E2 Vinyl Windows, U=0.36, SHGC=0.30	PE	\$	124	\$	<u> </u>	\$	7/2	
Furnace: 80% AFUE	=	\$	(#)	\$	<u> </u>	\$	( <del></del>	
Air Conditioner: 13 SEER, 11 EER (HERS)	-	\$	(=)	\$	-	\$	n <del>e</del>	
Air Conditioner: Refrig. Charge (HERS)	=	\$	1-1	\$	-	\$	-	
R-6 Attic Ducts	:=	\$	(=)	\$	=	\$	932	
Reduced Duct Leakage/Testing (HERS)	1=	\$	(#)	\$	j	\$	18	
50 Gallon Gas Water Heater: EF=0.62	1=	\$	=	\$	-	\$	70 <del>.</del>	
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:		\$	1,260	\$	1,942	\$	1,601	
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:			0.62	\$	0.96	\$	0.79	

Single Family Prototype: 2,025 SF, Option 2 2025 sf **Climate Zone 12** 

Energy Efficiency Measures C		Incremental Cost Est				timate	
	Type	Min		Max		Avg	
R-30 Roof w/ Radiant Barrier	:=:	\$ -	\$	-	\$		
R-19 Walls (from R-13): 2,550 sf @ \$0.31 to \$0.54/sf	Upgrade	\$ 791	\$	1,377	\$	1,084	
R-30 Raised Floor over Garage/Open at 2nd Floor (from	AC 2500						
R-19): 448 sf @ \$0.25 to \$0.35/sf	Upgrade	\$ 110	\$	157	\$	133	
R-0 Slab on Grade		\$ =	\$	=	\$	(=	
Quality Insulation Installation (HERS)	*	\$ -	\$	_	\$	( <del>-</del>	
Super Low E Vinyl Windows, U=0.36, SHGC=0.23 (from Low E2,							
U=0.36, SHGC=0.30): 409 sf @ \$1.40 - \$1.75 / sf	Upgrade	\$ 573	\$	716	\$	644	
Furnace: 80% AFUE	1.5	\$ =	\$	-	\$	:= :	
Air Conditioner: 13 SEER, 11 EER (HERS)	S=C	\$ -	\$	-	\$	( <b>=</b>	
Air Conditioner: Refrig. Charge (HERS)	154	\$ =	\$	-	\$	98	
R-6 Attic Ducts		\$ (=)	\$	20 20	\$	(=	
Reduced Duct Leakage/Testing (HERS)	18	\$	\$	=	\$	10 <del>.0</del>	
50 Gallon Gas Water Heater: EF=0.62 -		\$	\$	=	\$	æ	
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:		\$ 1,473	\$	2,250	\$	1,861	
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:		\$ 0.73	\$	1.11	\$	0.92	

# Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15%

Single Family Prototype: 2,025 SF, Option 3 **Climate Zone 12** 2025 sf

Energy Efficiency Measures Change			Incremental Cost Estimate						
99018 990	Туре		Min		Max		Avg		
R-30 Roof w/ Radiant Barrier	1=	\$	1=1	\$	-	\$	-		
R-21 Walls (from R-13): 2,550 sf @ \$0.45 to \$0.70/sf	Upgrade	\$	1,148	\$	1,785	\$	1,466		
R-19 Raised Floor over Garage/Open at 2nd Floor	<u> </u>	\$	124	\$	2	\$	7/29		
R-0 Slab on Grade	15	\$	<del>17.</del> 7	\$	-	\$	N <del>a</del>		
Eliminate Quality Insulation Installation (HERS)	Downgrade	\$	(600)	\$	(450)	\$	(525)		
Low E2 Vinyl Windows, U=0.36, SHGC=0.30	-	\$	(=)	\$	-	\$			
Furnace: 92% AFUE (from 80% AFUE)	Upgrade	\$	500	\$	1,200	\$	850		
Air Conditioner: 13 SEER, 11 EER (HERS)	B	\$	(=)	\$	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	\$	()=		
Air Conditioner: Refrig. Charge (HERS)	.=	\$	( <del>-</del> 3	\$	-	\$	7 <del></del>		
R-8 Attic Ducts (from R-6)	Upgrade	\$	225	\$	325	\$	275		
Reduced Duct Leakage/Testing (HERS)	1 1=	\$		\$	=	\$	92		
50 Gallon Gas Water Heater: EF=0.62	E	\$	(5)	\$	<del>2</del>	\$	(%		
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:		\$	1,273	\$	2,860	\$	2,066		
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:		\$	0.63	\$	1.41	\$	1.02		

#### **Large Single Family House**

4,500 square feet
2-story
22.0% glazing/floor area ratio

Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15% Single Family Prototype: 4,500 SF, Option 1

4500	sf	
------	----	--

**Climate Zone 12** 

Energy Efficiency Measures	Change	Incremental Cost Estimate						
	Type	Min		Max		Avg		
R-30 Roof w/ Radiant Barrier		\$	<b>a</b> 0	\$		\$	1-1	
R-19 Walls (from R-13): 2,518 sf @ \$0.31 to \$0.54/sf	Upgrade	\$	781	\$	1,360	\$	1,070	
R-30 Raised Floor	-	\$	=>	\$	:=	\$	:=:	
Quality Insulation Installation (HERS)	(=)	\$	-3	\$	-	\$	(=)	
Super Low E Vinyl Windows, U=0.36, SHGC=0.23 (from Low E2,								
U=0.36, SHGC=0.30): 990 sf @ \$1.40 - \$1.75 / sf	Upgrade	\$	1,386	\$	1,733	\$	1,559	
(2) Furnaces: 80% AFUE	(=)	\$	=0	\$	-	\$	180	
(2) Air Conditioners: 13 SEER, 11 EER (HERS)	=	\$	<b>=</b> 0	\$	-	\$	(=)	
(2) Air Conditioners: Refrig. Charge (HERS)	=	\$		\$	920	\$	\ <u></u>	
R-8 Attic Ducts	. <del></del>	\$	<b></b>	\$	5-7	\$	V <del></del>	
Reduced Duct Leakage/Testing (HERS)	-	\$	(E)	\$		\$	(=)	
(2) Instantaneous Gas Water Heater: RE=0.80	-	\$	<b>≔</b> ≬	\$	3=4	\$	181	
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:		\$	2,167	\$	3,092	\$	2,629	
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:		\$	0.48	\$	0.69	\$	0.58	

Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15%

Single Family Prototype: 4,500 SF, Option 2 **Climate Zone 12** 4500 sf

Energy Efficiency Measures	Change	Incremental Cost Estimate					nate
104000 01	Type		Min	Max			Avg
R-38 Roof w/ Radiant Barrier (from R-30 w/ Radiant Barrier):							
2,700 sf @ 0.15 to 0.20/sf	Upgrade	\$	405	\$	540	\$	473
R-21 Walls (from R-13): 2,518 sf @ \$0.45 to \$0.70/sf	Upgrade	\$	1,133	\$	1,763	\$	1,448
R-30 Raised Floor	=	\$	55	\$	<b>.</b>	\$	₹ .
Quality Insulation Installation (HERS)	-	\$	10=1	\$	<del>-</del> 2	\$	-
Low E2 Vinyl Windows, U=0.36, SHGC=0.30	-	\$	-	\$	₩8	\$	-
(2) Furnaces: 92% AFUE (from 80% AFUE)	Upgrade	\$	1,000	\$	2,400	\$	1,700
(2) Air Conditioners: 13 SEER, 11 EER (HERS)	Ħ	\$	is .	\$	<b>(1)</b>	\$	ĕ
(2) Air Conditioners: Refrig. Charge (HERS)	=	\$	X-	\$		\$	-
R-8 Attic Ducts	-	\$	3 <b>=</b>	\$	=>	\$	-
Reduced Duct Leakage/Testing (HERS)	=	\$	14	\$	===	\$	=
(2) Instantaneous Gas Water Heater: RE=0.80	ä	\$	i <del>e</del>	\$	<b>(i)</b>	\$	ŧ
Pipe Insulation	Upgrade	\$	300	\$	400	\$	350
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:		\$	2,838	\$	5,103	\$	3,970
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:		\$	0.63	\$	1.13	\$	0.88

Single Family Prototype: 4,500 SF, Option 3 4500 sf **Climate Zone 12** 

Energy Efficiency Measures	Change	Incremental Cost Estimate				nate	
and the second s	Type		Min		Max		Avg
R-38 Roof w/ Radiant Barrier (from R-30 w/ Radiant Barrier):							
2,700 sf @ 0.15 to 0.20/sf	Upgrade	\$	405	\$	540	\$	473
R-19 Walls (from R-13): 2,518 sf @ \$0.31 to \$0.54/sf	Upgrade	\$	781	\$	1,360	\$	1,070
R-38 Raised Floor (from R-30): 2,700 sf @ \$0.05 to \$0.10/sf	Upgrade	\$	135	\$	270	\$	203
Quality Insulation Installation (HERS)	=	\$	-	\$	-	\$	
Super Low E Vinyl Windows, U=0.36, SHGC=0.23 (from Low E2,							
U=0.36, SHGC=0.30): 990 sf @ \$1.40 - \$1.75 / sf	Upgrade	\$	1,386	\$	1,733	\$	1,559
(2) Furnaces: 92% AFUE (from 80% AFUE)	Upgrade	\$	1,000	\$	2,400	\$	1,700
(2) Air Conditioners: 13 SEER, 11 EER (HERS)	=	\$	= 1	\$	×=	\$	=
(2) Air Conditioners: Refrig. Charge (HERS)	=	\$	<b>*</b> 8	\$	134	\$	:=:
R-8 Attic Ducts	-	\$	<b>=</b> 0	\$	*=	\$	æ
Reduced Duct Leakage/Testing (HERS)		\$	<del>(</del> 0)	\$	-	\$	1979
(2) 50 Gallon Gas Water Heater: EF=0.62 (from (2) Instantaneous							
Gas: RE=0.80)	Downgrade	\$	(3,000)	\$	(1,800)	\$	(2,400)
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:		\$	707	\$	4,502	\$	2,604
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:		\$	0.16	\$	1.00	\$	0.58

#### **Low-rise Multi-family Apartments**

□ 8	,442	square	feet
-----	------	--------	------

□ 8 units/2-story

□ 12.5% glazing/floor area ratio

Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15%

Multi-Family Prototype: 8,442 SF, Option 1

**Climate Zone 12** 8442 sf

Energy Efficiency Measures	Change	Incremental Cost Estimate					nate
	Type		Min	Max			Avg
R-30 Roof w/ Radiant Barrier (from R-38 w/Radiant Barrier):							
4,221 sf @ 0.15 to 0.20/sf	Downgrade	\$	(844)	\$	(633)	\$	(739)
R-21 Walls (from R-13 ): 10,146 sf @ \$0.45 to \$0.70/sf	Upgrade	\$	4,566	\$	7,102	\$	5,834
R-0 Slab on Grade	-	\$	- EX	\$	6.5	\$	( <del>-</del> )
Quality Insulation Installation (HERS)	_	\$		\$	-	\$	-
Super Low E Vinyl Windows, U=0.36, SHGC=0.23	-	\$	=0	\$	-	\$	-
(8) Furnaces: 80% AFUE	=	\$	420	\$	92	\$	( <del>-</del> 2
(8) Air Conditioners: 13 SEER, 11 EER (HERS)	(8)	\$	**	\$	Œ	\$	E
(8) Air Conditioners: Refrig. Charge (HERS)	Upgrade	\$	1,200	\$	1,600	\$	1,400
R-6 Attic Ducts	_	\$		\$	1-	\$	-
Reduced Duct Leakage/Testing (HERS)	-	\$	=:	\$	:=	\$	
(8) 40 Gallon Gas Water Heaters: EF=0.63	(#)	\$	#0]	\$	H	\$	(=)
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:		\$	4,922	\$	8,069	\$	6,495
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:		\$	0.58	\$	0.96	\$	0.77

Multi-Family Prototype: 8,442 SF, Option 2 8442 sf

Energy Efficiency Measures	Change	Incremental Cost Estimate					
Aldersa getti	Type	Min	e e	Max		Avg	
R-38 Roof w/ Radiant Barrier	-	\$ 	\$	1-	\$	1-1	
R-15 Walls (from R-13 ): 10,146 sf @ \$0.14 to \$0.18/sf	Upgrade	\$ 1,420	\$	1,826	\$	1,623	
R-0 Slab on Grade	=	\$ <b>2</b> 0	\$	62	\$	12	
Quality Insulation Installation (HERS)	-	\$ =1	\$	8-5	\$	950	
Super Low E Vinyl Windows, U=0.36, SHGC=0.23	.=-	\$ =0	\$	-	\$	(=)	
(8) Furnaces: 80% AFUE	(=)	\$ -0	\$	-	\$	(=)	
(8) Air Conditioners: 13 SEER, 11 EER (HERS)	=	\$ Ev.	\$	92	\$	100 H	
(8) Air Conditioners: Refrig. Charge (HERS)	Upgrade	\$ 1,200	\$	1,600	\$	1,400	
R-6 Attic Ducts	-	\$ 	\$	-	\$	-	
Reduced Duct Leakage/Testing (HERS)		\$ 	\$	1-	\$	1-1	
(8) Intantaneous Gas DHW: RE=0.82 (from (8) 40 Gallon Gas							
Water Heaters: EF=0.63)	Upgrade	\$ 7,200	\$	11,600	\$	9,400	
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:		\$ 9,820	\$	15,026	\$	12,423	
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:		\$ 1.16	\$	1.78	\$	1.47	

## Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15%

Multi-Family Prototype: 8,442 SF, Option 3 **Climate Zone 12** 8442 sf

Energy Efficiency Measures	Change	Incremental Cost Estimate					mate
(CORP.) COR	Type		Min		Max	Avg	
R-30 Roof w/ Radiant Barrier (from R-38 w/Radiant Barrier):				2			
4,221 sf @ 0.15 to 0.20/sf	Downgrade	\$	(844)	\$	(633)	\$	(739)
R-21 Walls (from R-15 ): 10,146 sf @ \$0.27 to \$0.56/sf	Upgrade	\$	2,739	\$	5,682	\$	4,211
R-0 Slab on Grade		\$	- Ex	\$	157	\$	-
Quality Insulation Installation (HERS)		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Housewrap: 10,146 sf @ \$0.50 to \$0.75/sf	Upgrade	\$	5,073	\$	7,610	\$	6,341
Low E2 Vinyl Windows, U=0.36, SHGC=0.30 (from Super Low E	1. 2.				22		
Vinyl, U=0.36, SHGC=0.23): 1055 sf @ \$1.40 - \$1.75 / sf	Downgrade	\$	(1,846)	\$	(1,477)	\$	(1,662)
(8) Furnaces: 80% AFUE		\$		\$	-	\$	5
(8) Air Conditioners: 13 SEER, 11 EER (HERS)	i <del>m</del> i	\$		\$	:=	\$	-
(8) Air Conditioners: Refrig. Charge (HERS)	Upgrade	\$	1,200	\$	1,600	\$	1,400
R-8 Attic Ducts (from R-6)	Upgrade	\$	1,800	\$	2,600	\$	2,200
Reduced Duct Leakage/Testing (HERS)	-	\$	-5	\$	1	\$	
(8) 40 Gallon Gas Water Heaters: EF=0.63	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:		\$	8,122	\$	15,381	\$	11,752
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:		\$	0.96	\$	1.82	\$	1.39

Multi-Family Prototype: 8,442 SF, Option 4

04	42	
04	42	sf

#### **Climate Zone 12**

Energy Efficiency Measures	Change	Incremental Cost Estimate					nate
subsection of the second of th	Туре		Min Max			Avg	
R-38 Roof w/ Radiant Barrier	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-
R-19 Walls (from R-13 ): 10,146 sf @ \$0.15 to \$0.40/sf	Upgrade	\$	1,522	\$	4,058	\$	2,790
R-0 Slab on Grade	=	\$	<u>12</u> 91	\$	<u> </u>	\$	<u> </u>
Quality Insulation Installation (HERS)		\$	⊞¥ .	\$	8-2	\$	977
Super Low E Vinyl Windows, U=0.36, SHGC=0.23	( <del>=</del> X	\$		\$	-	\$	
(8) Furnace: 90% AFUE (from 80% AFUE)	Upgrade	\$	4,000	\$	8,000	\$	6,000
(8) Air Conditioners: 13 SEER, 11 EER (HERS)	-	\$	<u>=</u> x	\$	(P. 2)	\$	( <u></u> )
R-6 Attic Ducts	Œ	\$	(-)	\$	120 120 120	\$	H
Reduced Duct Leakage/Testing (HERS)	8	\$	. <del></del> #	\$	1=	\$	15
(8) 40 Gallon Gas Water Heaters: EF=0.63	-	\$		\$	1-1	\$	-
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:		\$	5,522	\$	12,058	\$	8,790
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:		\$	0.65	\$	1.43	\$	1.04

#### **High-rise Multifamily Apartments**

 ~ ~		•
ってに	$\Omega \cap U$	ct
JU.	800	oi.

☐ 40 units/4-story

☐ Window to Wall Ratio = 35.2%

#### Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15% High-rise Residential Prototype: 36,800 SF, Option 1

	Change	Incremental Cost Estimate				mate	
Energy Efficiency Measures to Exceed Title 24 by 15%	Type		Min Max		Max		Avg
R-30 Metal Roof w/ <b>R-20 (4") rigid insulation;</b> Cool Roof Reflectance=0.55, Emittance=0.75; 9,200 sf @ \$1.75 - \$2.60/sf	Upgrade	\$	16,100	\$	23,920	\$	20,010
R-19 in Metal Frame Walls	(m)						
R-4 (1.25" K-13 spray-on) Raised Slab over parking garage	<b>a</b>						
Dual Metal Windows: COG U-factor=0.30, COG SHGC=0.27 6,240 sf @ \$1.00 to \$1.50/sf 1.5 ton 4-pipe fan coils, 92% AFUE boiler, 70-ton scroll air cooled chiller 0.72 KW/ton	Upgrade Upgrade	\$	6,240 1,500	\$	9,360 2,500	\$	7,800 2,000
Central DHW boiler: <b>92% AFUE</b> and recirculating system w/ timertemperature controls	Upgrade	\$	1,500	\$	2,500	\$	2,000
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:		\$	25,340	\$	38,280	\$	31,810
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:		\$	0.69	\$	1.04	\$	0.86

#### Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15% High-rise Residential Prototype: 36,800 SF, Option 2

Climate Zone 12

	Change	Incremental Cost Estimate				mate	
Energy Efficiency Measures to Exceed Title 24 by 15%	Type		Min Max		Avg		
R-30 Metal Roof w/ <b>R-30 (6") rigid insulation;</b> Cool Roof Reflectance=0.55, Emittance=0.75; 9,200 sf @ \$2.75 - \$4.10/sf	Upgrade	\$	25,300	69	37,720	\$	31,510
R-19 in Metal Frame Walls	<b>.</b>						
R-4 (1.25" K-13 spray-on) Raised Slab over parking garage	-						
Dual Metal Windows: <b>COG U-factor=0.3</b> , COG SHGC=0.38 6,240 sf @ \$0.00 to \$0.50/sf	Upgrade	\$	=	\$	3,120	\$	1,560
1.5 ton 4-pipe fan coils, <b>98% AFUE boiler</b> , 70-ton scroll air cooled chiller 0.72 KW/ton	Upgrade	\$	1,750	\$	3,000	\$	2,375
Central DHW boiler: <b>98% AFUE</b> and recirculating system w/ timer-temperature controls	Upgrade	\$	1,750	\$	3,000	\$	2,375
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:		\$	28,800	\$	46,840	\$	37,820
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:		\$	0.78	\$	1.27	\$	1.03

#### Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15% High-rise Residential Prototype: 36,800 SF, Option 3

	Change	Incremental Cost Estimate				mate	
Energy Efficiency Measures to Exceed Title 24 by 15%	Туре		Min Max				Avg
R-19 Metal Roof w/ R-5 (1") rigid insulation; Cool Roof Reflectance=0.55, Emittance=0.75	<del>-</del>						Section 1
R-19 in Metal Frame Walls							
R-4 (1.25" K-13 spray-on) Raised Slab over parking garage							
Dual Metal Windows: default U-factor=0.79, COG SHGC=0.38	1						
1.5 ton 4-pipe fan coils, <b>92% AFUE boiler</b> , 70-ton scroll air cooled chiller 0.72 KW/ton	Upgrade	\$	1,500	\$	2,500	\$	2,000
Central DHW boiler: <b>92% AFUE</b> and recirculating system w/ timer-temperature controls	Upgrade	\$	1,500	\$	2,500	\$	2,000
Solar Hot Water System, 25% Net Solar Fraction  @ \$1,500 to \$2,000 per dwelling unit (after tax credits)	Upgrade	\$	60,000	\$	80,000	\$	70,000
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:		\$	63,000	\$	85,000	\$	74,000
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:		\$	1.71	\$	2.31	\$	2.01

#### **Low-rise Office Building in the offices**

Single Story
10,580 sf,
Window to Wall Ratio = 37.1%

Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15% Nonresidential Prototype: 10,580 SF, Option 1

	Change	le Incremental Cost Estimat			mate		
Energy Efficiency Measures to Exceed Title 24 by 15%	Туре	Min Max		Min Max		ax Av	
R-19 Metal Roof w/ R-20 (4") rigid insulation; Cool Roof							
Reflectance=0.55, Emittance=0.75;10,580 sf @ \$1.50 - \$2.25/sf	Upgrade	\$	15,870	\$	23,805	\$	19,838
R-19 in Metal Frame Walls	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1 <del>-</del>
R-0 (un-insulated) slab-on-grade 1st floor	-	\$	1=	\$	-	\$	X <del></del>
Metal windows: COG U=0.30, COG SHGC=0.27;							
3,200 sf @ \$1.00 to \$1.50/sf	Upgrade	\$	3,200	\$	4,800	\$	4,000
Lighting = 0.783 w/sf: Open Office Areas: (60) 2-lamp T8 fixtures							
@58w each; no lighting controls; (24) 18w recessed CFLs. Small							
Offices: (56) 2-lamp T8 fixtures, (28) multi-level ocupancy							
sensors @ \$75 to \$100 each; (40) 18w recessed CFLs. Support							
Areas: (32) 18w recessed CFLs; (48) 13w CFL wall sconces; no							
controls.	Upgrade	\$	2,100	\$	2,800	\$	2,450
(3) 10-ton DX units EER=11.0; 80% AFUE furnaces; <b>premium</b>							
efficiency fan motors; Diff. temp. integrated air economizers,							
fault detection, DDC and cycle on at night controls.	Upgrade	\$	4,800	\$	7,800	\$	6,300
R-8 duct insulation w/ ducts on roof, HERS verified duct leakage	Upgrade	\$	100	\$	200	\$	150
(1) 50 gallon or less tank Gas Water Heaters <b>EF=0.65</b> Upgrad		\$	250	\$	450	\$	350
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:		\$	26,320	\$	39,855	\$	33,088
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:			2.49	\$	3.77	\$	3.13

#### Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15% Nonresidential Prototype: 10,580 SF, Option 2

	Change	Incremental Cost Estimate			mate		
Energy Efficiency Measures to Exceed Title 24 by 15%	Туре		Min		Max		Avg
R-19 Metal Roof w/ <b>R-20 (4") rigid insulation</b> ; Cool Roof							
Reflectance=0.55, Emittance=0.75;10,580 sf @ \$1.50 - \$2.25/sf	Upgrade	\$	15,870	\$	23,805	\$	19,838
R-19 in Metal Frame Walls	ı	\$	.=	\$	=>	69	-
R-0 (un-insulated) slab-on-grade 1st floor	-	\$	- (	\$	==	\$	1.7
Metal windows: COG U=0.30, COG SHGC=0.27;							
3,200 sf @ \$1.00 to \$1.50/sf	Upgrade	\$	3,200	\$	4,800	\$	4,000
Lighting = 0.716 w/sf: Open Office Areas: (32) HO 2-lamp T8							
fixtures @74w each; no lighting controls; (24) 18w recessed							
CFLs. Small Offices: (56) 2-lamp T8 fixtures, (28) multi-level							
ocupancy sensors on T8s @ \$75 to \$100 each;; (40) 18w							
recessed CFLs. Support Areas: (32) 18w recessed CFLs; (48)							
13w CFL wall sconces; no controls. <b>Net saving of \$36 to \$40 per</b>							
new fixture in open offices because of a total reduction of							
46% of T8 fixtures	Upgrade	\$	(1,152)	\$	(1,280)	\$	(1,216)
(3) 13-ton DX units EER=11.6; 82% AFUE furnaces; standard							
efficiency fan motors; fixed temp. integrated air economizers	-	\$	_	\$	<b>-</b> 0	\$	-
R-12 duct insulation w/ ducts on roof, HERS verified duct leakage	Upgrade	\$	200	\$	350	\$	275
(1) instant tankless Gas Water Heaters EF=0.84	Upgrade	\$	1,200	\$	2,000	\$	1,600
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:		\$	19,318	\$	29,675	\$	24,497
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:		\$	1.83	\$	2.80	\$	2.32

Nonresidential Prototype: 10,580 SF, Option 3

	Change	hange Incremental Cost Esti			mate			
Energy Efficiency Measures to Exceed Title 24 by 15%	Туре		Min Max				Avg	
R-19 Metal Roof w/ <b>R-15 (3") rigid insulation;</b> Cool Roof Reflectance=0.55, Emittance=0.75;10,580 sf @ \$1.00 - \$1.50/sf	Upgrade	\$	10,580	\$	15,870	\$	13,225	
R-19 with <b>1" rigid</b> in Metal Frame Walls; 4,916 sf wall area @ \$1.50 to \$3.00/sf		\$	7,374	\$	14,748	\$	11,061	
R-0 (un-insulated) slab-on-grade 1st floor	-	\$	.=	\$	=:	\$	15.	
Metal windows: COG U=0.30, <b>COG SHGC=0.31</b> ; 3,200 sf @ \$0.00 to \$0.50/sf	Upgrade	\$	-	\$	1,600	\$	800	
Lighting = 0.783 w/sf: Open Office Areas: (60) 2-lamp T8 fixtures @58w each; no lighting controls; (24) 18w recessed CFLs. Small Offices: (56) 2-lamp T8 fixtures, (28) multi-level ocupancy sensors @ \$75 to \$100 each; (40) 18w recessed CFLs. Support Areas: (32) 18w recessed CFLs; (48) 13w CFL wall sconces; no controls.	Upgrade	\$	2,100	\$	2,800	\$	2,450	
(3) 13-ton DX units EER=11.6; 82% AFUE furnaces; standard efficiency fan motors; fixed temp. integrated air economizers	-							
R-12 duct insulation w/ ducts on roof, HERS verified duct leakage	Upgrade	\$	200	\$	350	\$	275	
(1) 50 gallon or less tank Gas Water Heaters EF=0.58	=							
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:		\$	20,254	\$	35,368	\$	27,811	
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:			1.91	\$	3.34	\$	2.63	

## **High-rise Office Building**

5-story
52,900 sf,
Window to Wall Ratio = 34.5%

Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15% Nonresidential Prototype: 52,900 SF, Option 1

Change			Increm	Incremental Cost E			mate
Energy Efficiency Measures to Exceed Title 24 by 15%	Туре		Min		Max		Avg
R-19 under Metal/Conc. Deck w/ 1" rigid insulation (R-5),							
no cool roof	~						
R-19 in Metal Frame Walls	~						
R-0 (un-insulated) slab-on-grade 1st floor							
Metal windows: COG U=0.30, COG SHGC=0.27;							
12,000 sf @ \$1.00 to \$1.50/sf	Upgrade	\$	12,000	\$	18,000	\$	15,000
Lighting = 0.650 w/sf: Open Office Areas: (140) HO 2-lamp T8							
fixtures @74w each; no lighting controls; (120) 18w recessed							
CFLs no lighting controls;. Small Offices: (280) 2-lamp T8							
fixtures with 140 multi-level sensors; @ \$75 to \$100 each;							
(200) 18w recessed CFLs, with multi-level sensors. Support							
Areas: (160) 18w recessed CFLs; (240) 13w CFL wall sconces; no		0006		16029		22	2709727623 RO 107 107
lighting controls.	Upgrade	\$	25,200	\$	30,800	\$	28,000
(4) 55-ton Packaged VAV EER=10.1; 80% TE furnaces; standard							
efficiency variable speed fan motors; Fixed temp. integrated air							
economizers; 15% VAV boxes, electric reheat on perimeter zones	Upgrade	\$	7,935	\$	10,580	\$	9,258
R-6 duct insulation w/ ducts in conditioned	ne ne	\$	\ <del>=</del>	\$	=	\$	\ <u>=</u>
Standard Tank Gas Water Heaters EF=0.58	12						
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:		\$	45,135	\$	59,380	\$	52,258
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:			0.85	\$	1.12	\$	0.99

#### Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15% Nonresidential Prototype: 52,900 SF, Option 2

	Change	Increm	ent	tal Cost I	Esti	mate
Energy Efficiency Measures to Exceed Title 24 by 15%	Type	Min		Max		Avg
R-19 Metal Roof w/ <b>R-15 (3") rigid insulation;</b> Cool Roof Reflectance=0.55, Emittance=0.75;10,580 sf @ \$1.35 - \$2.00/sf	Upgrade	\$ 14,283	\$	21,160	\$	17,722
R-19 in Metal Frame Walls						
R-0 (un-insulated) slab-on-grade 1st floor	<b>=</b>	\$ :=	\$	₩)	\$	-
Metal windows: COG U=0.30, <b>COG SHGC=0.31</b> ; 12,000 sf @ \$0.00 to \$0.50/sf	Upgrade	\$ -	\$	6,000	\$	3,000
Lighting = 0.650 w/sf: Open Office Areas: (140) HO 2-lamp T8 fixtures @74w each; no lighting controls; (120) 18w recessed CFLs no lighting controls;. Small Offices: (280) 2-lamp T8 fixtures with 140 multi-level sensors; @ \$75 to \$100 each; (200) 18w recessed CFLs, with multi-level sensors. Support Areas: (160) 18w recessed CFLs; (240) 13w CFL wall sconces; no lighting controls.	Upgrade	\$ 25,200	↔	30,800	69	28,000
(4) 55-ton Packaged VAV EER=10.1; 80% TE furnaces; standard efficiency variable speed fan motors; Fixed temp. integrated air economizers; <b>15% VAV boxes</b> , electric reheat on perimeter zones R-6 duct insulation w/ ducts in conditioned	Upgrade -	\$ 7,935	\$	10,580	\$	9,258
Standard Tank Gas Water Heaters EF=0.58	-					
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:		\$ 47,418	\$	68,540	\$	57,979
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:		\$ 0.90	\$	1.30	\$	1.10

#### Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15% Nonresidential Prototype: 52,900 SF, Option 3

	Change	Incremental Cost Estimate			mate		
Energy Efficiency Measures to Exceed Title 24 by 15%	Туре		Min		Max		Avg
R-19 Metal Roof w/ R-15 (3") rigid insulation; Cool Roof							
Reflectance=0.55, Emittance=0.75;10,580 sf @ \$1.35 - \$2.00/sf	Upgrade	\$	14,283	\$	21,160	\$	17,722
R-19 in Metal Frame Walls	-						
R-0 (un-insulated) slab-on-grade 1st floor	a						
Metal windows: <b>COG U=0.30, COG SHGC=0.38</b> ; 12,000 sf @ \$1.00 to \$1.50/sf	Upgrade	\$	12,000	\$	18,000	\$	15,000
Lighting =0.783 w/sf: Open Office Areas: (300) 2-lamp T8 fixtures @58w each; no lighting controls; (120) 18w recessed CFLs no					·		
lighting controls;. Small Offices: (280) 2-lamp T8 fixtures with							
<b>140 multi-level sensors; @ \$75 to \$100 each</b> ; (200) 18w							
recessed CFLs, with multi-level sensors. Support Areas: (160)							
18w recessed CFLs; (240) 13w CFL wall sconces; no lighting							
controls.	Upgrade	\$	10,500	\$	14,000	\$	12,250
(4) 55-ton Packaged VAV EER=10.1; 80% TE furnaces; standard	25 35.04						
efficiency variable speed fan motors; differential temp. integrated							
air economizers; 20% VAV boxes, hot water reheat on perimeter							
zones with 92% AFUE boiler	Upgrade	\$	1,500	\$	2,500	\$	2,000
R-6 duct insulation w/ ducts in conditioned	2						
92% AFUE boiler for domestic hot water		\$	1,500	\$	2,500	\$	2,000
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:		\$	39,783	\$	58,160	\$	48,972
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:		\$	0.75	\$	1.10	\$	0.93

#### Incremental Cost Estimate to Exceed Title 24 by 15% Nonresidential Prototype: 52,900 SF, Option 4

	Change	Incremental Cost Estimate			mate		
Energy Efficiency Measures to Exceed Title 24 by 15%	Туре		Min		Max		Avg
R-19 under Metal/Conc. Deck w/ 1" rigid insulation (R-5),							
no cool roof	-						
R-19 in Metal Frame Walls	-						
R-0 (un-insulated) slab-on-grade 1st floor	-	\$		\$	=	\$	æ
Metal windows: <b>COG U=0.30, COG SHGC=0.27</b> ; 12,000 sf @ \$2.00 to \$2.50/sf	Upgrade	\$	24,000	\$	30,000	\$	27,000
Lighting = 0.858 w/sf: Open Office Areas: (300) 2-lamp T8 fixtures @58w each; no lighting controls; (120) 18w recessed CFLs no lighting controls. Small Offices: (280) 2-lamp T8 fixtures on/off lighting controls; (200) 18w recessed CFLs no lighting controls. Support Areas: (160) 18w recessed CFLs no lighting controls; (240) 13w CFL wall sconces; no lighting controls.	-	\$	7=	\$	->	\$	-
(4) 55-ton Packaged VAV EER=10.1; 80% TE furnaces; standard efficiency variable speed fan motors; differential temp. integrated air economizers; 20% VAV boxes, hot water reheat on perimeter zones with <b>92% AFUE boiler</b>	Upgrade	\$	1,500	\$	2,500	\$	2,000
R-6 duct insulation w/ ducts in conditioned	4						
92% AFUE boiler for domestic hot water	Upgrade	\$	1,500	\$	2,500	\$	2,000
Total Incremental Cost of Energy Efficiency Measures:		\$	27,000	\$	35,000	\$	31,000
Total Incremental Cost per Square Foot:		\$	0.51	\$	0.66	\$	0.59

#### **Cost -Effectiveness Determination** 5.0

Regardless of the building design, occupancy profile and number of stories, the incremental improvement in overall annual energy performance of buildings in exceeding the 2008 Standards is determined to be cost-effective. However, each building's overall design, occupancy type and specific design choices may allow for a large range of incremental costs for exceeding 2008 Standards, estimated annual energy cost savings, and subsequent payback period.

#### **Small Single Family**

	Total	Total		Annual Energy	Simple
	Annual KWh	Annual Therms	Incremental	Cost Savings	Payback
Building Description	Saving	Saving	First Cost (\$)	(\$)	(Years)
2,025 sf (Option 1)	388	70	\$1,601	\$154	10.4
2,025 sf (Option 2)	501	43	\$1,862	\$142	13.1
2,025 sf (Option 3)	281	86	\$2,067	\$154	13.4
Averages:	390	66	\$1,843	\$150	12.3

Annual Reduction in CO2-equivalent: 0.30 lb./sq.ft.-year, 618 lb./building-year

Increased Cost / Ib. CO2-e reduction: \$1.91

#### **Large Single Family**

	Total	Total		Annual Energy	Simple
	Annual KWh	Annual Therms	Incremental	Cost Savings	Payback
<b>Building Description</b>	Saving	Saving	First Cost (\$)	(\$)	(Years)
4,500 sf (Option 1)	1095	12	\$2,630	\$212	12.4
4,500 sf (Option 2)	505	132	\$3,971	\$249	15.9
4,500 sf (Option 3)	1182	-7	\$2,605	\$204	12.7
Averages:	927	46	\$3,068	\$222	13.7

Annual Reduction in CO2-equivalent: 0.21 lb./sq.ft.-year, 949 lb./building-year

Increased Cost / Ib. CO2-e reduction: \$3.23

#### **Low-rise Multi-family Apartments**

	Total	Total		Annual Energy	Simple
	Annual KWh	Annual Therms	Incremental	Cost Savings	Payback
Building Description	Saving	Saving	First Cost (\$)	(\$)	(Years)
8-Unit, 8,442 sf (Option 1)	1724	232	\$6,496	\$589	11.0
8-Unit, 8,442 sf (Option 2)	693	449	\$12,423	\$664	18.7
8-Unit, 8,442 sf (Option 3)	1254	336	\$11,752	\$629	18.7
8-Unit, 8,442 sf (Option 4)	1312	303	\$8,790	\$600	14.7
Averages:	1246	330	\$9,865	\$620	15.8

Annual Reduction in CO2-equivalent: 0.52 lb./sq.ft.-year, 4,402 lb./building-year

Increased Cost / Ib. CO2-e reduction: \$2.24

#### **High-rise Multi-family Apartments**

	Total	Total		Annual Energy	Simple
	Annual KWh	Annual Therms	Incremental	Cost Savings	Payback
<b>Building Description</b>	Saving	Saving	First Cost (\$)	(\$)	(Years)
36,800 sf (Option 1)	6114	1 <b>96</b> 8	\$31,810	\$3,462	9.2
36,800 sf (Option 2)	867	2941	\$37,820	\$4,570	8.3
36,800 sf (Option 3)	-114	3577	\$74,000	\$4,292	17.2
Averages:	2289	2829	\$47,877	\$4,108	11.6

Annual Reduction in CO2-equivalent: 0.92 lb./sq.ft.-year, 33,956 lb./building-year

Increased Cost / Ib. CO2-e reduction: \$1.41

#### **Low-rise Office Building**

	Total	Total		Annual Energy	Simple
	Annual KWh	Annual Therms	Incremental	Cost Savings	Payback
<b>Building Description</b>	Saving	Saving	First Cost (\$)	(\$)	(Years)
10,580 sf (Option 1)	8798	-17	\$33,088	\$2,398	13.8
10,580 sf (Option 2)	11491	164	\$24,497	\$3,393	7.2
10,580 sf (Option 3)	9279	283	\$27,811	\$3,001	9.3
Averages:	9856	143	\$28,465	\$2,931	10.1

Annual Reduction in CO2-equivalent: 0.58 lb./sq.ft.-year, 6,104 lb./building-year

Increased Cost / Ib. CO2-e reduction: \$4.29

#### **High-rise Office Building**

	Total	Total		Annual Energy	Simple
	Annual KWh	Annual Therms	Incremental	Cost Savings	Payback
Building Description	Saving	Saving	First Cost (\$)	(\$)	(Years)
52,900 sf (Option 1)	81917	-43	\$52,258	\$17,956	2.9
52,900 sf (Option 2)	83361	590	\$57,979	\$18,189	3.2
52,900 sf (Option 3)	50872	2273	\$48,972	\$12,771	3.8
52,900 sf (Option 4)	49300	2048	\$31,000	\$12,427	2.5
Averages:	66363	1217	\$47,552	\$15,336	3.1

Annual Reduction in CO2-equivalent: 0.60 lb./sq.ft.-year, 27,255 lb./building-year

Increased Cost / Ib. CO2-e reduction: \$1.49

#### Conclusions

Regardless of the building design, occupancy profile and number of stories, the incremental improvement in overall annual energy performance of buildings which exceed the 2008 Title 24 Building Energy Efficiency Standards by 15% appears costeffective. However, each building's overall design, occupancy type and specific design choices may allow for a large range of incremental first cost and payback. As with simply meeting the requirements of the Title 24 energy standards, a permit applicant complying with the energy requirements of a green building ordinance should carefully analyze building energy performance to reduce incremental first cost and the payback for the required additional energy efficiency measures.

# **Appendix:**

City of West Sacramento Green Building Ordinance